EXHIBIT 302

Case 1:17-cv-02989-AT Document 1551-2 Filed 12/28/22 Page 2 of 272

Page 1 SECURE, ACCESSIBLE & FAIR ELECTIONS COMMISSION STATE OF GEORGIA The above-entitled SAFE Commission meeting was held before Patrick Stephens, Certified Court Reporter, in and for the State of Georgia, commencing at 10:00 a.m. on this, the 12th day of December, 2018, at Secretary of State's Professional Licensing Boards Division, 237 Coliseum Drive, Macon, Georgia 31217. TRANSCRIPT LEGEND (Interjection of thought for clarification) (Interruption of thought) (Trailing off or did not complete thought) . . . (Phonetically) (ph) [sic] (In its original form)

Case 1:17-cv-02989-AT Document 1551-2 Filed 12/28/22 Page 110 of 272

Page 109 commission, Madam Secretary. My name is Ryan Germany. I am the general counsel of the Secretary of State's office.

I want to give you guys a very brief update on litigation

-- not all litigation that we are facing in the Secretary of State's office, but some that I think is relevant to y'all's charge here.

It's meant to be very brief and high level. There are some plaintiffs in the lawsuits I'm about to talk about here, so I don't want to -- you know, I'll say I'm not meaning to characterize anything a certain way so, if I do so, I apologize. It's meant to be very -- just a general kind of FYI to the commission.

The point I want to get across is there is ongoing litigation about our current system and -- and, in my view, that litigation will continue until we move to a new system. And, frankly, if we don't move to a new system prior to the next election, we'll probably -- we'll probably see even -- even more litigation about it. So time is of the essence as y'all consider your recommendations.

So the questions in front of you are complicated, and the point I want to get across is one that I think, unfortunately, makes it even more complicated. We have a complicated issue that we need to solve and we need to solve it quickly.

Case 1:17-cv-02989-AT Document 1551-2 Filed 12/28/22 Page 111 of 272

Page 110

We need to get a -- make a recommendation, get it through the legislature and implement a system with all deliberate speed. And, if we are not able to do that, I think the state will see legal consequences in terms of continuing current litigation but probably additional litigation going forward.

The two claims I want -- the two cases I wanted to give you a brief overview on are, essentially, about the election machines. One of them is called Curling v. Kemp -- Curling v. Crittenden now that'll soon be Curling v. Raffensperger, but it's a constitutional challenge to the use of the DRE machines, and the plaintiffs allege that the lack of a paper trial is a violation of the 14th amendment.

That case is in front of Judge Totenberg in the Northern District of Georgia. Currently, it is on appeal in the 11th Circuit and oral argument in that case is set for January 28th.

Judge Totenberg issued a opinion prior to it going to the 11th Circuit, indicating that she found a lot of the plaintiff's claims credible. So that means, if the case goes back to Judge Totenberg, you know, she's given us a pretty clear indication of how -- of how she intends to rule.

The next case is the case that Mr. Favorito mentioned briefly. There's an election contest over the lieutenant

Case 1:17-cv-02989-AT Document 1551-2 Filed 12/28/22 Page 112 of 272

governor's race. And, again, that's -- that's called

Coalition for Good Governance v. Crittenden. It's in

Fulton County Superior Court, and that's an election

challenge talking about the number of under votes in the

lieutenant governor's race. So that's the other one

Page 111

involving -- involving our machines.

One other thing I want to speak to very briefly is there's litigation -- there's the machine cases, the DRE cases. One thing that we are not currently dealing with in Georgia but we have seen in other states is there are lawsuits about accessibility under the -- the Americans with Disability Act. I know both Ohio and Maryland are seeing lawsuits to that -- in that.

The gist of those cases is that the voter experience for disabled voters should match as close as possible to voter experience for nondisabled voters. Currently, we have a system where those do match very well. In some states, they do not.

So I think that's something to keep in mind as y'all consider your recommendations or if we move to something where those get further part, the experience for nondisabled and disabled voters in terms of voting, then we probably will see some of those lawsuits as well.

And, again, I know we're -- that's -- that's all I had. It was meant to be very high level, so I don't want

Page 112 to get into sort of the specifics of litigation right now, 1 2 but I am happy to take any questions if members of the 3 commission have any. REPRESENTATIVE FLEMING: Thank you, Ryan. Questions 4 5 from members of the commission? Ms. Bailey. 6 MS. BAILEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Lynn Bailey, 7 Richmond County. You were talking about the timeframe for implementation of a new system. Are we still looking at a 8 9 -- having something in place prior to or at least at the time of the presidential primary for 2020 or is that a 10 11 decision that is yet still to be made? REPRESENTATIVE FLEMING: Well, I think -- I think it 12 13 is a decision yet to be made because all of that depends on the legislature acting this session, and what we put into 14 the law and working with the governor and the Secretary of 15 16 State. 17 However, most of the discussions I think I have heard 18 have said that it would be great if we could possibly even 19 in the municipal elections of '19 -- maybe statewide, maybe 20 not -- have some test runs with new equipment at that point 21 and then that gets you closer to being ready, potentially, 22 for the presidential-preference primaries. 23 However, the best laid plans of mice and men -- I have 24 said before, you know, you -- you try to set a goal there, and that is an ambitious schedule and -- and the people at 25